- 1 - IAP20 Rec'd POTATTO 27 DEC 2005

Correcting Device to Compensate for Polarization

Distribution Perturbations and Projection Objective for

Microlithography

The invention relates to a correcting device to compensate for perturbations of the polarization distribution over the cross section of a light beam in an optical system, having at least one correcting component which comprises a birefringent correcting element having two essentially parallel surfaces, between which the thickness of the correcting element is essentially constant. The invention also relates to a projection objective for microlithography having such a correcting device.

A correcting device and a projection objective of this type are known from DE 198 07 120 A1.

10

15

20

In many optical systems, a prerequisite for a high imaging quality is that the light passing through the optical system should be in a defined polarization state everywhere over the beam cross section. Since this defined polarization state need not be constant over the beam cross section, the term "defined polarization distribution of the light" is also often employed. If deviations from this defined polarization distribution occur, then this can lead to intolerable imaging errors and/or contrast losses in the image plane. Examples of relevant causes of such deviations are the polarization

dependency of reflective layers or the birefringence of particular lens materials.

The latter point is of particular importance in connection with microlithographic projection exposure apparatus, such as those used for the production of large-scale integrated electrical circuits. In that case, it is becoming increasingly common to use lenses made of fluorspar (CaF2) since these crystals still have a sufficient optical transparency even at very short 10 projection light wavelengths. At very short wavelengths, however, fluorspar is by its very nature (i.e. intrinsically) birefringent; birefringence due to mechanical stresses may furthermore be added to this. Although various measures for reducing the birefringence of fluorspar lenses are now known, full compensation for 15 perturbations of the polarization distribution over the cross section of the projection light beam is nevertheless generally impossible. If these perturbations remain uncompensated, then the contrast of the projection objectives is reduced, and this has an unfavorable effect 20 on the size of the structures that can then be produced with such apparatus.

The fact that the polarization distribution perturbations considered here have the property of varying over the cross section of a light beam precludes compensation by conventional polarization compensators, for example a Soleil-Babinet compensator, since these compensators act uniformly over the cross section of the light beam.

DE 198 07 120 A1, already mentioned in the introduction, discloses a correcting device to compensate for polarization perturbations which vary locally over the cross section of a light beam. The correcting device described therein comprises a plate, which consists of magnesium fluoride (MgF2) and is therefore birefringent, introduced into the beam path of the optical system. The thickness of the plate varies over its cross section, which leads to a position-dependent compensating effect. 10 Since the thickness variations required for the compensation amount only to a few micrometers, the freeform surfaces on the plate cannot be produced by polishing or other conventional methods of material erosion. Production of the freeform surfaces by means of ion beam processing is therefore proposed. Such 15 processing methods are used, for example, in the production of so-called "nano-aspheres" which are used to correct wavefront errors in projection exposure apparatus.

In order to compensate for a class of polarization perturbations which is as general as possible, it is proposed to use two such plates whose principal axes are mutually rotated by 45°. Since the thickness variations affect not only the polarization but also, to an even greater extent, the wavefront profile of light passing through, each of the correcting plates is provided with a quartz plate for wavefront compensation, which also have thickness variations but ones which are complementary with those of the correcting plates. When taken together,

a correcting plate and its associated quartz plate, which are assembled together seamlessly by contact bonding or cementing, have scarcely any effect on the wavefront profile of transmitted light because their refractive indices are approximately equal.

A disadvantage with this known correcting device, however, is that the correcting plates do not only influence the polarization where perturbations are to be compensated for, but change the polarization over the entire cross section of the light beam. This is because the correcting plates cannot comprise birefringent material exclusively where compensation for polarization distribution perturbations is required. In that case, the correcting plates would need to be only a few micrometers thick and also have holes at the positions where no perturbations are to be compensated for. Such correcting plates would be neither producible nor manageable. The correcting plates must therefore comprise additional material, which acts as a kind of support but also contributes to the influence on the polarization over its entire cross section.

10

15

20

25

It is an object of the invention to improve a correcting device of the type mentioned in the introduction, so that the polarization of light passing through is influenced in the controlled way only where perturbations of the polarization distribution need to be compensated for.

This object is achieved in that at least one correcting component comprises at least one further birefringent correcting element, which is assigned to the first correcting element and has two essentially parallel surfaces, in that at least one of the surfaces of at least one of the correcting elements is reprocessed so as to create local thickness variations Ad by which the perturbations of the polarization distribution are compensated for at least approximately, and in that the arrangement, thickness and birefringence properties of the correcting elements are selected so that their birefringent effects cancel each other out when the local thickness variations are neglected.

The invention is based on the discovery that, if their 15 birefringence axes are mutually oriented in a suitable way, then a plurality of birefringent elements can cancel out their birefringent effects. The invention is thus firstly based on an arrangement of two or more birefringent correcting elements which, taken together, 20 do not influence the polarization of light passing through. If the thickness now varies locally on one or more of these correcting elements, then the birefringent effect of the overall arrangement is restricted only to those regions where thickness modifications have been 25 carried out. If the correcting elements consist of the same birefringent material, then, apart from the thickness variations introduced by subsequent processing,

they must have the same thickness so that full mutual compensation of their birefringent effects takes place.

In a correcting component which consists of two correcting elements, these may for example be arranged in the correcting device with birefringence axes mutually rotated by 90°. In the case of three correcting elements, a relative orientation of the birefringence axes at 120° angles may be envisaged.

5

In a preferred configuration of the invention, the 10 surfaces of the correcting elements are reprocessed complementarily with one another so that the total thickness of all the correcting elements of a correcting component is constant over its cross section. Since the correcting component as a whole does not have any 15 thickness variations, wavefront errors are substantially avoided. This applies even if the individual correcting elements are not fastened directly to one another but are arranged at a distance from one another in the correcting device. If the correcting elements are flat disks, for 20 example, then the overall correcting component acts as a plane-parallel plate which still locally influences the polarization but does not significantly influence the profile of the wavefront. Additional quartz plates, which are necessary in the prior art in order to equalize the 25 optical path differences due to the thickness variations, can therefore be obviated.

In this configuration, it is furthermore advantageous that the local thickness variation required for the perturbation compensation at a particular point is thereby necessarily distributed between a plurality of correcting elements. If the correcting component 5 comprises two correcting elements, for example, then the complementary reprocessing of the surfaces leads to a local thickness variation Δd being distributed between the two correcting elements so that the thickness of one 10 correcting element is reduced by $\Delta d/2$ at the corresponding point, and that of the other correcting element is increased by $\Delta d/2$. Distributing the thickness variations between a plurality of correcting elements is advantageous particularly in the case of large thickness variations, since these can be accurately produced only 15 with significant difficulties by the known reprocessing methods such as ion or atom beam etching.

Ideally, the correcting device should locally influence only the polarization, but not otherwise modify the light propagation in the optical system in which the correcting device is installed. This being the case, for ray bundles with a small aperture angle it will generally be sufficient to produce the correcting elements as plane-parallel plates, the size of which can be adapted to the geometry of the light beam passing through. For light beams with larger aperture angles, however, correcting elements with curved, for example spherical, surfaces may also be envisaged.

One application of this involves catadioptric projection objectives, which generally contain a spherical imaging mirror arranged in a pupil plane. In order to arrange the correcting device as close as possible to a pupil

5 surface, and therefore in the immediate vicinity of the curved mirror surface, adaptation of the surface of the correcting elements to the mirror curvature is virtually unavoidable. It is generally preferable to arrange the correcting device in a pupil plane because it will then

10 have a field-independent effect, so that an average polarization error in the field can be adopted as the correction quantity.

Even with a total thickness of all the correcting elements which is constant over the cross section, however, slight wavefront errors due to the thickness variations of the individual correcting elements are not entirely avoidable. For this reason, it may be expedient for at least one correcting element to have a surface which is additionally reprocessed so as to reduce wavefront errors due to the thickness variations.

15

20

With only one correcting component, it is not possible to compensate for all types of polarization perturbations.

Two correcting components are therefore provided in a preferred configuration of the invention, the

birefringence axes of the correcting elements of one correcting component being rotated by 45° relative to the birefringence axes of the correcting elements of the

other correcting component. In this way, it is possible to compensate not only for phase shifts but also for rotations of the polarization.

Exemplary embodiments of the invention will be explained below with reference to the drawings, in which:

- Figure 1 shows a highly simplified representation of the polarization distribution over the cross section of a light beam;
- Figure 2 shows a perspective representation, not true
 to scale, of a correcting device according to
 the invention having two correcting elements,
 through which a light beam passes;
- Figure 3 shows a cross section through the correcting elements shown in Figure 2, before they are assembled together;
 - Figure 4 shows a representation corresponding to

 Figure 3, but after the correcting elements
 have been assembled together;
- Figure 5 shows a cross section through another

 20 exemplary embodiment of a correcting device
 according to the invention, having three
 correcting elements;

Figure 6 shows a cross section through a further exemplary embodiment of a correcting device according to the invention, which comprises two correcting components each having two correcting elements;

5

Figure 7 shows a simplified meridian section through a catadioptric projection objective having a correcting device according to the invention.

Figure 1 shows a cross section through a light beam 10, 10 in which a polarization distribution is schematically indicated with the aid of arrows 12. The arrows 12 are intended to represent the polarization direction inside the light beam 10. As can be seen in Figure 1, the light is mostly polarized linearly with the same polarization direction over the cross section of the light beam 10. At 15 a few points which are denoted by 14a, 14b and 14c in Figure 1, however, the light is polarized not exactly linearly but more or less elliptically. These perturbations of the polarization distribution may lead, 20 in subsequent polarization-selective optical elements such as beam-splitter layers or retardation plates, to intolerable imaging errors and/or to a contrast loss in the image plane.

In a perspective representation which is not true to 25 scale, Figure 2 shows a correcting device 16 with which it is possible to compensate for the perturbations 14a, 14b and 14c in the polarization distribution of the light beam 10. Besides frame elements (not shown in Figure 2), the correcting device 16 comprises a correcting component 18 which in turn comprises a first and a second disk-shaped correcting element 20 and 22, respectively, which are assembled together seamlessly. The two correcting elements 20, 22 are made of magnesium fluoride crystals (MgF₂), and are therefore birefringent. Magnesium fluoride is suitable particularly for applications in microlithography, since it is still transparent even at the wavelengths of 193 nm or 157 nm used therein.

The orientation of the crystal axes is selected so as to create the birefringence axes, represented by axis

15 intersections in Figure 2, for the two correcting elements 20, 22. The slow crystal axis with the higher refractive index n₁ and the fast crystal axis with the lower refractive index n₂ are respectively perpendicular to each other and furthermore perpendicular to the optical axis of the correcting device 16, which is denoted by Z.

This means that in the first correcting element 20, a polarization component of the light beam 10 which extends along the slow crystal axis will experience a phase change Φ , also referred to as retardation, relative to the polarization component perpendicular to it, which is given by

25

 $\Phi = (2\pi/\lambda) \cdot d \cdot (n_1 - n_2).$

Here, λ denotes the wavelength of the light incident on the correcting component 18 and d denotes the thickness of the correcting element 20 along the optical axis Z.

In the second correcting element 22, however, the 5 polarization component perpendicular thereto will be retarded since the crystal orientations of the correcting elements 20, 22, and therefore their birefringence axes, are mutually rotated by 90° about the Z axis. Since the 10 two correcting elements 20, 22 have the same thickness, the magnitude of the phase differences is the same. In this way, the phase change of one polarization component due to the first correcting element 20 is compensated for by an equally large phase change of the polarization component perpendicular to it, which is caused by the 15 second correcting element 22. Wherever the thicknesses of the two correcting elements 20, 22 are exactly equal, the relative phase angle between mutually perpendicular polarization components, and therefore the polarization 20 state of the light passing through, will consequently not change.

In order now to compensate for the polarization distribution perturbations schematically shown in Figure 1, the thicknesses of the two correcting elements 20, 22 are deliberately selected so that they

25

differ at suitably selected points over the cross section.

Figure 3 shows a cross section through the two correcting elements 20, 22 before they are assembled together. In a highly exaggerated representation, structures can be seen on the mutually opposing surfaces 24 and 26 of the two correcting elements 20, 22, which lead to thickness variations and are formed complementarily with each other. This means that each elevation on one surface has 10 an indentation corresponding to it on the opposite surface, and that the corresponding elevations and indentations are designed so that they engage exactly in one another when the two correcting elements 20, 22 are assembled together. Assembling the two correcting elements 20, 22 together, as indicated by an arrow 28, 15 then leads to the correcting component 18 shown in Figure 4, which consists of a material block having a uniform total thickness d_g and containing no cavities.

As can be seen in Figure 3, when the thickness variations are neglected, the two correcting elements 20, 22 have the same thickness d in order to achieve the basic compensation of the phase changes as explained above with reference to Figure 2. A plurality of indentations 30, 32 and 34 have been subsequently made on the surface 26 of the second correcting element 22 by ion beam etching or similar suitable processing methods, in order to compensate for a perturbation of the polarization

distribution at this position. The opposite surface 24 of the first correcting element 20 has corresponding elevations 30', 32' and 34', the shape of which corresponds exactly to that of the opposite indentations.

The elevations 30', 32' and 34' are generated by initially producing the first correcting element 20 with an extra thickness 36, indicated by dashes, so that the elevations 30', 32' and 34' can be exposed by subsequent erosion of material around them.

10 It is to be understood that the categorization carried out here as indentations 30, 32 and 34, on the one hand, and elevations 30', 32' and 34', on the other hand, merely serves to simplify the representation. Whether the surface 26 of the second correcting element 22 is defined by the indentations 30, 32 and 34, or alternatively by elevations which lie between the indentations 30, 32 and 34, is merely a question of definition. Very generally, the surfaces 24, 26 of the correcting elements 20 and 22 may have a virtually arbitrary but mutually complementary unevenness.

The following procedure, for example, may be adopted in order to establish the position and extent of the thickness variations:

First, the polarization distribution is recorded by
25 measurement techniques in an image plane of the optical
system in which the correcting device 16 is installed. To

this end, two orthogonal polarizations are successively set up in an object plane of the optical system, and the polarization state is respectively recorded in the image plane. The correction requirement is calculated from the difference between the measurement results as a function of the optical position of the correcting component 18. If the correcting component 18 lies in a pupil plane of the optical system, then the effect of the correcting component 18 is field-independent. It is therefore 10 possible to use the average polarization error in the field as a correction quantity. If the phase difference between the two perpendicular polarization states as a function of the angle coordinates θ and ϕ of rays in the image plane is denoted by $\Phi(\theta, \varphi)$, then the required thickness variation Δd of a correcting element at the 15 position coordinates (x,y) is given by

$$\Delta d(x,y) = \Phi(\theta,\phi) \cdot (\lambda/2\pi) \cdot 1/(n_1 - n_2).$$

20

25

Since a thickness variation $\Delta d(x,y)$ at a position (x,y) in the exemplary embodiment shown in Figures 2 to 4 is respectively distributed uniformly between the two correcting elements 20, 22, in order to keep the total thickness d_g constant, this leads to an elevation or indentation respectively with a magnitude $d_z = \Delta d/2$ for the two correcting elements 20, 22 at the position (x,y). This quantity d_z , which in terms of production technology indicates the height of the required material erosion, will be referred to below as the profile depth. The fact

that the profile depth is reduced by a factor of 2, relative to the required thickness variation Δd , has significant production technology advantages since achieving large profile depths sometimes presents difficulties with the previously known methods of high-accuracy material erosion.

5

Figure 3 indicates the profile depth d_z by way of example for the position with the greatest and smallest thickness of the correcting elements 20, 22, respectively. It can also be seen from this representation that the extra thickness 36 on the first correcting element 20 should be selected so that it is (at least) equal to the maximum profile depth, and therefore to half the maximum thickness variation Δd which is required for the perturbation compensation.

When the correcting element 16 is used in microlithography with wavelengths of 193 nm or 157 nm, the profile depths d_z obtained in this way are of the order of a few hundred nanometers, while the thicknesses d of the disks are of the order of a few millimeters. The profile depths d_z represented in Figure 3 are therefore shown greatly exaggerated.

Figure 5 shows another exemplary embodiment of a correcting device, which is denoted overall by 118. Parts which correspond to those of the exemplary embodiment shown in Figures 2 to 4 are provided with reference

numerals increased by 100. The first correcting element in this exemplary embodiment is divided into two subelements 120a, 120b, which enclose the second correcting element 122 between them and whose total thickness corresponds to that of the second correcting element 122. The thickness variations Δd are divided here between two interfaces, which are established by the essentially parallel surfaces 126, 127 of the second correcting element 122. For each of these surfaces 126, 127, the profile depth is therefore halved again by a factor of 2 to $d_z/2 = \Delta d/4$.

Figure 6 shows a further exemplary embodiment of a correcting device, which is denoted overall by 216, in a cross section. The correcting device 216 comprises, on 15 the one hand, the correcting component 18 as shown in Figures 2 to 4. The correcting device 216 also contains two other correcting elements 220 and 222, which together form a further correcting component. As represented on the left next to the cross section, the orientations of 20 the crystal axes of the two correcting elements 220 and 222 are derived by a 45° rotation from the crystal axes of the correcting elements 20 and 22, respectively. In the two outer correcting elements 220, 222, therefore, the fast crystal axes as well the slow crystal axes are respectively also mutually perpendicular. In this way, if 25 thickness variations initially remain neglected, the two outer correcting elements 220, 222 compensate each other

fully in terms of their effect on the polarization of light passing through.

As exaggeratedly represented in Figure 6, the outer correcting elements 40 and 42 also have thickness

5 variations which are mutually complementary in the way already described above. Owing to the fact that they are arranged on opposite sides of the correcting component 18, however, the elevations and indentations resulting therefrom cannot be brought to engage in one another. The total thickness d_g of the correcting device 216 is nevertheless identical at every coordinate (x,y).

Since the birefringence axes of the correcting component formed by the two outer correcting elements 220, 222 are rotated by 45° relative to the birefringence axes of the 15 correcting component 18, not only phase shifts but also rotations of the polarization direction can be compensated for by the correcting device 216. In order to determine the arrangement and size of the thickness 20 variations on the individual correcting elements 20, 22, 220 and 222 in this general case, it is preferable to represent the Jones matrix for each field point and for each angle coordinate as a linear combination of elementary matrices, which describe phase shifts and 25 rotations of the polarization. By equating the Jones matrix represented in this way for each field point with a Jones matrix for the arrangement shown in Figure 6,

which contains only phase-shifting elements, the size of the thickness variations at the points (x,y) on the surfaces of the correcting elements can be deduced by coefficient comparison.

The reference 40 in Figure 6 denotes, by way of example and likewise not true to scale, an additional material erosion which is present only on an outwardly facing surface 42 of the correcting element 220 and has no counterpart in the form of a corresponding elevation on the associated correcting element 222. This material erosion is used to eliminate small wavefront errors which occur during the polarization compensation. The fact that in the case of MgF₂, material erosion affects the wavefront about one hundred times more strongly than it affects the polarization, is utilized here.

Figure 7 shows a catadioptric projection objective, denoted overall by 56, of a microlithographic projection exposure apparatus in a simplified meridian section. The projection objective 56 is used to project a reduced

20 image of structures, contained in a reticle 58, onto a photosensitive surface which is applied on a substrate 60. Projection light passing through the reticle 58 enters a beam-splitter cube 62, where it is reflected by a polarization-selective beam-splitter

25 layer 64, and is sent through a quarter-wave plate 66 and a plurality of lenses onto a spherical imaging mirror 68. After reflection by the imaging mirror 68, the

polarization is again rotated in the quarter-wave plate 66 so that the projection light can now pass through the beam-splitter layer 64 and enter a purely dioptric part 70 of the projection objective 60.

- The spherical imaging mirror 68 is arranged in the vicinity of a pupil plane 72 of the projection objective 60. A correcting device 316, which comprises two spherically curved correcting elements 320, 322, is arranged immediately before the imaging mirror 68, i.e.

 10 likewise in the vicinity of the pupil plane 72. The curvature ensures that the correcting device is not arranged too far away from the pupil plane 72. In this way, furthermore, the correcting device 316 has less of an effect on the wavefront profile of rays incident at large aperture angles. Since each projection light ray
- passes through the correcting device 316 two times, owing to the reflection by the imaging mirror 68, the thickness variations Δd can be reduced by a factor of 2 compared with an arrangement in which only a single pass takes place through the correcting element.